

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,201	MUKAI, RYOICHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Holly Rickman	1773	

All Participants:

(1) Holly Rickman.

(2) Joe Kim.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 March 2006.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, first paragraph rejection and 103 rejections

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

HOLLY RICKMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Holly Rickman
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Kim agreed to an examiner's amendment to delete the term "noncontiguous" from the independent claims and add a limitation requiring that the Cr based substance of the underlayer separates said islands from each other to overcome the prior art of record. Mr. Kim agreed to the cancellation of non-elected claims and the correction of a minor informality in claim 13.